



KITILÄ SITE VISIT

NOVEMBER
2016



AGNICO EAGLE

Forward Looking Statements

The information in this presentation has been prepared as at November 11, 2016. Certain statements contained in this presentation constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and “forward-looking information” under the provisions of Canadian provincial securities laws and are referred to herein as “forward-looking statements”. When used in this presentation, the words “anticipate”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “forecast”, “future”, “plan”, “potential”, “will” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements include, without limitation: the Company’s forward-looking production guidance, including estimated ore grades, project timelines, drilling results, metal production, life of mine estimates, production, total cash costs per ounce, all-in sustaining costs per ounce, minesite costs per tonne, other expenses and cash flows; the estimated timing and conclusions of technical reports and other studies; the methods by which ore will be extracted or processed; statements concerning expansion projects, recovery rates, mill throughput, optimization and projected exploration expenditures, including costs and other estimates upon which such projections are based; statements regarding timing and amounts of capital expenditures and other assumptions; estimates of future mineral reserves, mineral resources, mineral production, optimization efforts and sales; estimates of mine life; estimates of future capital expenditures and other cash needs, and expectations as to the funding thereof; statements as to the projected development of certain ore deposits, including estimates of exploration, development and production and other capital costs and estimates of the timing of such exploration, development and production or decisions with respect to such exploration, development and production; estimates of mineral reserves and mineral resources; statements regarding the Company’s ability to obtain the necessary permits and authorizations in connection with its exploration, development and mining operations and the anticipated timing thereof; and statements regarding anticipated future exploration; the anticipated timing of events with respect to the Company’s mine sites and statements regarding the sufficiency of the Company’s cash resources and other statements regarding anticipated trends with respect to the Company’s operations, exploration and the funding thereof. Such statements reflect the Company’s views as at the date of this presentation and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of factors and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Agnico Eagle as of the date of such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. The material factors and assumptions used in the preparation of the forward looking statements contained herein, which may prove to be incorrect, include, but are not limited to, the assumptions set forth herein and in management’s discussion and analysis (“MD&A”) and the Company’s Annual Information Form (“AIF”) for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with Canadian securities regulators and that are included in its Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2015 (“Form 40-F”) filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) as well as: that there are no significant disruptions affecting operations; that production, permitting, development and expansion at each of Agnico Eagle’s properties proceeds on a basis consistent with current expectations and plans; that the relevant metal prices, exchange rates and prices for key mining and construction supplies will be consistent with Agnico Eagle’s expectations; that Agnico Eagle’s current estimates of mineral reserves, mineral resources, mineral grades and metal recovery are accurate; that there are no material delays in the timing for completion of ongoing growth projects; that the Company’s current plans to optimize production are successful; and that there are no material variations in the current tax and regulatory environment. Many factors, known and unknown, could cause the actual results to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to: the volatility of prices of gold and other metals; uncertainty of mineral reserves, mineral resources, mineral grades and mineral recovery estimates; uncertainty of future production, project development, capital expenditures and other costs; exchange rate fluctuations; financing of additional capital requirements; cost of exploration and development programs; mining risks; community protests; risks associated with foreign operations; governmental and environmental regulation; the volatility of the Company’s stock price; and risks associated with the Company’s currency, fuel and by-product metal derivative strategies. For a more detailed discussion of such risks and other factors that may affect the Company’s ability to achieve the expectations set forth in the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation, see the AIF and MD&A filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and included in the Form 40-F filed on EDGAR at www.sec.gov, as well as the Company’s other filings with the Canadian securities regulators and the SEC. Other than as required by law, the Company does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update these forward-looking statements.

Note Regarding the Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This presentation discloses certain measures, including “total cash costs per ounce”, “all-in sustaining costs per ounce”, “minesite costs per tonne” and “net debt” that are not standardized measures under IFRS. These data may not be comparable to data reported by other issuers. For a reconciliation of these measures to the most directly comparable financial information reported in the consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with and for an explanation of how management uses these measures, see “Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures” in the MD&A filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and included in the Form 6-K filed on EDGAR at www.sec.gov, as well as the Company’s other filings with the Canadian securities regulators and the SEC. The total cash costs per ounce of gold produced is reported on both a by-product basis (deducting by-product metal revenues from production costs) and co-product basis (before by-product metal revenues). The total cash costs per ounce of gold produced on a by-product basis is calculated by adjusting production costs as recorded in the consolidated statements of income for by-product revenues, unsold concentrate inventory production costs, smelting, refining and marketing charges and other adjustments, and then dividing by the number of ounces of gold produced. The total cash costs per ounce of gold produced on a co-product basis is calculated in the same manner as the total cash costs per ounce of gold produced on a by-product basis except that no adjustment is made for by-product metal revenues. Accordingly, the calculation of total cash costs per ounce of gold produced on a co-product basis does not reflect a reduction in production costs or smelting, refining and marketing charges associated with the production and sale of by-product metals. The total cash costs per ounce of gold produced is intended to provide information about the cash-generating capabilities of the Company’s mining operations. Management also uses these measures to monitor the performance of the Company’s mining operations. As market prices for gold are quoted on a per ounce basis, using the total cash costs per ounce of gold produced on a by-product basis measure allows management to assess a mine’s cash-generating capabilities at various gold prices. All-in sustaining costs per ounce is used to show the full cost of gold production from current operations. The Company calculates all-in sustaining costs per ounce of gold produced on a by-product basis as the aggregate of total cash costs per ounce on a by-product basis, sustaining capital expenditures (including capitalized exploration), general and administrative expenses (including stock options) and reclamation expenses divided by the number of ounces of gold produced. The all-in sustaining costs per ounce of gold produced on a co-product basis is calculated in the same manner as the all-in sustaining costs per ounce of gold produced on a by-product basis, except that the total cash costs per ounce on a co-product basis is used, meaning no adjustment is made for by-product metal revenues. The Company’s methodology for calculating all-in sustaining costs per ounce may differ from to the methodology used by other producers that disclose all-in sustaining costs per ounce. The Company may change the methodology it uses to calculate all-in sustaining costs per ounce in the future, including in response to the adoption of formal industry guidance regarding this measure by the World Gold Council. Management is aware that these per ounce measures of performance can be affected by fluctuations in exchange rates and, in the case of total cash costs per ounce of gold produced on a by-product basis, by-product metal prices. Management compensates for these inherent limitations by using these measures in conjunction with minesite costs per tonne (discussed below) as well as other data prepared in accordance with IFRS. Minesite costs per tonne are calculated by adjusting production costs as shown in the interim condensed consolidated statements of income for unsold concentrate inventory production costs, and then dividing by tonnes of ore processed. As the total cash costs per ounce of gold produced can be affected by fluctuations in by-product metal prices and exchange rates, management believes that the minesite costs per tonne provides additional information regarding the performance of mining operations, eliminating the impact of varying production levels. Management also uses this measure to determine the economic viability of mining blocks. As each mining block is evaluated based on the net realizable value of each tonne mined, in order to be economically viable the estimated revenue on a per tonne basis must be in excess of the minesite costs per tonne. Management is aware that this per tonne measure of performance can be impacted by fluctuations in processing levels and compensates for this inherent limitation by using this measure in conjunction with production costs prepared in accordance with IFRS. Net debt is calculated by adjusting the total of the current portion of long-term debt and non-current long-term debt as recorded on the consolidated balance sheet for deferred financing costs, cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. Management uses net debt to determine the overall debt position and to evaluate future debt capacity of the Company. Management also performs sensitivity analyses in order to quantify the effects of fluctuating exchange rates and metal prices.

Note Regarding Production Guidance

The gold production guidance is based on the Company’s mineral reserves but includes contingencies and assumes metal prices and foreign exchange rates that are different from those used in the mineral reserve estimates. These factors and others mean that the gold production guidance presented in this presentation does not reconcile exactly with the production models used to support these mineral reserves.

Currency

All amounts in this presentation are expressed in U.S. dollars except as otherwise noted.



Kittilä Mine - Areal View, Looking North



- The Kittilä underground mine in northern Finland is the largest primary gold producer in Europe and operates at over 5,000 tonnes per day.
- With a life mine estimated through 2035, Kittilä is Agnico Eagle's longest-life mine.
- Proven and probable gold reserve currently contain 4.4 million ounces (138 tonnes), or 28.2 million tonnes ore at 4.80 g/t gold.

Kittilä Mine - Finland

Location and Infrastructure



- 55 km north of Kittilä
- 900 km north of Helsinki
- Excellent infrastructure: roads, airports, communication
- Mining license 857 Ha

Kittila Mine - History

-
- | | | | |
|------|--|------|--|
| 1986 | First gold finding by the Geological Survey of Finland | 2010 | Stable production |
| 1998 | Exploration started by Swedish Riddarhyttan Recourses AB | 2012 | Open pit mining ended, solely underground mining |
| 2002 | Environmental permit for mining | 2014 | Completion of the mill expansion project |
| 2003 | Mining license | 2016 | Poured one millionth ounce on February 1 st |
-

- | | |
|------|---|
| 2005 | Agnico Eagle Mines Limited became the sole owner of Riddarhyttan |
| 2006 | Completion of the final feasibility study, decision to build the mine |
| 2008 | Ore production started in May, milling in September |
| 2009 | The first gold pour in January; the Grand Opening in June |
-



From Exploration to Doré Bar



Seven Years Of Gold Production

Gold Bar No. 1

- Poured January 14, 2009
- Weight 32.50 kg

Gold Bar No. 1,000

- Poured March 27, 2014
- Weight 20.9 kg
- Value: 624,736 EUR

Production as of February 1, 2016

- 1 M ounces ; 32.2 tonnes
- Value: 1.26 billion USD
1.18 billion EUR




Kittila – Q3 2016 Highlights

Proven & probable gold reserves (million oz) <h1>4.4</h1>	Measured & indicated gold resource (million oz) <h1>1.5</h1>	Inferred gold resource (million oz) <h1>1.8</h1>	Q3 2016 Production (koz) <h1>55</h1>	Q3 2016 Total Cash Costs/oz <h1>\$663</h1>
---	--	--	--	--



- Higher throughput in the 2016 period is a result of increased development leading to improved ore access and strong mining productivity
- Studies are continuing to optimize underground mining rates and fully integrate the upper and lower Rimpi zones and the newly discovered Sisar Zone in a new Kittila mine plan
- Infill drilling in Q3 2016 yielded the widest intercept to date in the Sisar Central Zone. Hole ROD16-702D returned 6.6 g/t gold over 12.7 metres at 1,303 metres depth
- Underground development to access the upper portion of the Sisar Zone continued during Q3 2016. The Sisar Zone is located approximately 150 to 200 metres from existing underground infrastructure




See AEM February 10, 2016 press release and appendix for detailed breakdown of mineral reserves and mineral resources



MINING

Underground Mine and Open Pits




Underground Development

- 5,268 m in 2006–2008
- 4,232 m in 2009
- 5,045 m in 2010
- 6,439 m in 2011
- 7,518 m in 2012
- 7,153 m in 2013
- 8,884 m in 2014
- 13,641 m in 2015
- 14,637 m in 2016 (estimate)



Typical ramp profile



Mining Sequence


Transversal Stoping

Primary Stopes

Paste Backfill

Secondary Stopes

Rockfill / Cemented
Rockfill



Underground Main Level 350 M



Map of the main level, 350 m

Underground Main Level 350 M





PROCESSING

Kittilä Ore Processing



Pressure oxidation of refractory gold ore (difficult-to-treat ore) in autoclave



Autoclave


Milling



Crushing – Grinding – Flotation – Pressure oxidation – Leaching – Electrowinning



Mill Process Flow Sheet





AGNICO EAGLE




TAILINGS


Kittilä Mine Tailings Facility



Main dam of the pond CIL2



Main dam of the pond NP3





Tailings Area

**Total area approximately 110 ha
All ponds are lined with waterproof
bitumen liners.**

Kittilä Mine – Leader in Environmental Protection

- Human and financial resources
- Good cooperation with Municipality, tourist industry, authorities, local people and associations - “Social License” to operate
- In full compliance with environmental legislation and permits
- Water treatment – maintaining the ecological state of the Seurujoki river
- Process water - closed circuit - cyanide destruction





PERSONNEL

Kittilä Mine – Workforce

The mine employs directly approximately 800 people


- 440 are Agnico Eagle employees
 - over 55% from Kittilä and 90% from Finnish Lapland
- 360 contractor employees
 - 15% from Kittilä and 41% from Lapland
- Average age 39.3 years
- 11.7% of the workforce are women







MINE EXPLORATION

Drilling Continues to Infill and Extend Mineralization in the Sisar Zone





Kittilä Mine Exploration



**Deepest indication below 2km vertical depth,
265m North and 110m down along
strike and plunge from the ROD15704D
intercept (27.45m @ 6.46ppm).**

Kittilä Mine Exploration






REGIONAL EXPLORATION

Proposed 2017 Regional Exploration Program

- **Suurikuusikko**
5,000m of drilling
- **Hanhimaa (AEM earn-in from Dragon Mining)**
2,000m of drilling to further investigate the Belt





AGNICO EAGLE



APPENDIX

Gold and Silver Reserves and Resources



December 31, 2015

	Gold			Silver		
	Tonnes (000's)	Gold (g/t)	Gold (ounces) (000's)	Tonnes (000's)	Silver (g/t)	Silver (ounces) (000's)
Proven & Probable Reserves						
Northern Business	200,646	2.57	16,572	18,220	19.73	11,557
Southern Business	49,937	1.56	2,502	49,937	26.93	43,241
Total	250,583	2.37	19,075	68,157	25.01	54,798
Measured & Indicated Resources						
Northern Business	223,513	1.88	13,535	6,842	18.25	4,014
Southern Business	85,693	0.56	1,553	38,457	14.29	17,666
Total	309,206	1.52	15,089	45,299	14.89	21,680
Inferred Resources						
Northern Business	102,294	4.32	14,221	9,142	15.07	4,429
Southern Business	127,368	0.57	2,325	25,995	17.24	14,408
Total	229,662	2.24	16,546	35,137	16.67	18,837

See AEM February 10, 2016 press release for detailed breakdown of mineral reserves and mineral resources. Reserves are not a subset of resources

Copper, Zinc and Lead Reserves and Resources

December 31, 2015

	Copper			Zinc			Lead		
	Tonnes (000's)	Copper (%)	Copper (tonnes)	Tonnes (000's)	Zinc (%)	Zinc (tonnes)	Tonnes (000's)	Lead (%)	Lead (tonnes)
Proven & Probable Reserves									
Northern Business	22,979	0.30	67,915	18,220	0.81	147,927	18,220	0.04	7,500
Southern Business									
Total	22,979	0.30	67,915	18,220	0.81	147,927	18,220	0.04	7,500
Measured & Indicated Resources									
Northern Business	14,073	0.29	41,449	6,842	0.82	55,838	6,842	0.07	4,895
Southern Business									
Total	14,073	0.29	41,449	6,842	0.82	55,838	6,842	0.07	4,895
Inferred Resources									
Northern Business	12,592	0.29	35,918	9,142	0.90	82,396	9,142	0.06	5,782
Southern Business	19,658	0.19	36,451						
Total	32,250	0.22	72,369	9,142	0.90	82,396	9,142	0.06	5,782

See AEM February 10, 2016 press release for detailed breakdown of mineral reserves and mineral resources. Reserves are not a subset of resources

Mineral Reserves and Resources by Project



December 31, 2015

Northern Business	Gold		
	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold (g/t)	Gold (Moz)
LaRonde mine			
Proven Reserves	3.5	4.1	0.5
Probable Reserves	14.8	5.6	2.7
Indicated Resource	6.8	3.5	0.8
Inferred Resource	9.1	4.3	1.3
Meadowbank mine			
Proven Reserves	1.2	1.5	0.1
Probable Reserves	9.6	2.9	0.9
Measured & Indicated Resource	7.0	3.2	0.7
Inferred Resource	3.4	4.0	0.4
Amaruq Project*			
Inferred Resource	19.4	6.0	3.7
Canadian Malartic mine (50% Interest)			
Proven Reserves	27.4	1.0	0.9
Probable Reserves	83.3	1.1	3.0
Measured & Indicated Resource (Undiluted), excluding reserves	12.8	1.5	0.6
Inferred Resource	4.5	1.5	0.2
Meliadine project			
Proven Reserves	0.03	7.3	0.01
Probable Reserves	14.5	7.3	3.4
Indicated Resource	20.8	5.0	3.3
Inferred Resource	14.7	7.5	3.6
Kittilä mine			
Proven Reserves	1.1	4.3	0.1
Probable Reserves	27.1	4.8	4.2
Measured & Indicated Resource	15.9	3.0	1.5
Inferred Resource	11.8	4.6	1.8
Goldex mine			
Proven Reserves	0.3	1.5	0.02
Probable Reserves	12.6	1.6	0.7
Measured & Indicated Resource	34.4	1.9	2.1
Inferred Resource	24.6	1.5	1.2
Lapa mine			
Proven Reserves	0.4	5.5	0.1
Measured & Indicated Resource	1.1	4.3	0.2
Inferred Resource	1.4	6.5	0.3

Southern Business	Gold		
	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold (g/t)	Gold (Moz)
Pinos Altos mine			
Proven Reserves	2.8	3.1	0.3
Probable Reserves	13.0	2.8	1.2
Indicated Resource	11.1	1.8	0.7
Inferred Resource	12.6	1.3	0.5
Creston Mascota mine			
Proven Reserves	0.2	0.7	0.004
Probable Reserves	4.0	1.3	0.2
Indicated Resource	4.3	0.5	0.1
Inferred Resource	4.3	1.1	0.1
La India mine			
Proven Reserves	0.2	0.7	0.01
Probable Reserves	29.7	0.9	0.9
Measured & Indicated Resource	70.3	0.4	0.8
Inferred Resource	90.9	0.4	1.1
El Barqueño Project			
Inferred Resource	19.7	1.0	0.6

See AEM February 10, 2016 press release for detailed breakdown of mineral reserves and mineral resources. Reserves are not a subset of resources

*As of June 30, 2016 - See AEM September 15, 2016 press release for detailed breakdown of mineral resources

Notes to Investors Regarding The Use of Mineral Resources

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources

This document uses the terms “measured mineral resources” and “indicated mineral resources”. Investors are advised that while those terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize them. **Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into mineral reserves.**

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Inferred Mineral Resources

This document also uses the term “inferred mineral resources”. Investors are advised that while this term is recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize it. “Inferred mineral resources” have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, except in rare cases. **Investors are cautioned not to assume that part or all of an inferred mineral resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.**

Scientific and Technical Data

Cautionary Note To U.S. Investors - The SEC permits U.S. mining companies, in their filings with the SEC, to disclose only those mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. Agnico Eagle reports mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum *Best Practice Guidelines for Exploration and for Estimation of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves* in accordance with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities' (the "CSA") National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* ("NI 43-101"). These standards are similar to those used by the SEC's Industry Guide No. 7, as interpreted by Staff at the SEC ("Guide 7"). However, the definitions in NI 43-101 differ in certain respects from those under Guide 7. Accordingly, mineral reserve information contained herein may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by U.S. companies. Under the requirements of the SEC, mineralization may not be classified as a "mineral reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the mineral reserve determination is made. A "final" or "bankable" feasibility study is required to meet the requirements to designate mineral reserves under Industry Guide 7. Agnico Eagle uses certain terms in this presentation, such as "measured", "indicated", and "inferred", and "resources" that the SEC guidelines strictly prohibit U.S. registered companies from including in their filings with the SEC.

In prior periods, mineral reserves for all properties were typically estimated using historic three-year average metals prices and foreign exchange rates in accordance with the SEC guidelines. These guidelines require the use of prices that reflect current economic conditions at the time of mineral reserve determination, which the Staff of the SEC has interpreted to mean historic three-year average prices. Given the current lower commodity price environment, Agnico Eagle has decided to use price assumptions that are below the three-year averages. The assumptions used for the mineral reserve estimates at all mines and advanced projects as of December 31, 2015 (other than the Canadian Malartic mine), reported by the Company on February 10, 2016 and September 15, 2016, are \$1,100 per ounce gold, \$16.00 per ounce silver, \$0.90 per pound zinc, \$2.50 per pound copper, and US\$/C\$, Euro/US\$ and US\$/MXP exchange rates for all mines and projects other than the Lapa, Meadowbank and Creston Mascota mines and Santo Niño open pit at Pinos Altos of 1.16, 1.20 and 14.00, respectively. Due to shorter mine life, the assumptions used for the mineral reserve estimates at the short-life mines (the Lapa, Meadowbank and Creston Mascota mines and Santo Niño open pit) as of December 31, 2015, reported by the Company on February 10, 2016, include the same metal price assumptions, and US\$/C\$ and US\$/MXP exchange rates of 1.30 and 16.00, respectively. The assumptions used for the mineral reserves estimate at the Canadian Malartic mine as of December 31, 2015, reported by the Company on February 10, 2016, are \$1,150 per ounce gold, a cut-off grade between 0.30 g/t and 0.33 g/t gold (depending on the deposit) and a US\$/C\$ exchange rate of 1.24.

NI 43-101 requires mining companies to disclose mineral reserves and mineral resources using the subcategories of "proven mineral reserves", "probable mineral reserves", "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" and "inferred mineral resources". Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Notes to Investors Regarding The Use of Mineral Resources

A mineral reserve is the economically mineable part of a measured and/or indicated mineral resource. It includes diluting materials and allowances for losses, which may occur when the material is mined or extracted and is defined by studies at pre-feasibility or feasibility level as appropriate that include application of modifying factors. Such studies demonstrate that, at the time of reporting, extraction could reasonably be justified.

Modifying factors are considerations used to convert mineral resources to mineral reserves. These include, but are not restricted to, mining, processing, metallurgical, infrastructure, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental factors.

A proven mineral reserve is the economically mineable part of a measured mineral resource. A proven mineral reserve implies a high degree of confidence in the modifying factors. A probable mineral reserve is the economically mineable part of an indicated and, in some circumstances, a measured mineral resource. The confidence in the modifying factors applying to a probable mineral reserve is lower than that applying to a proven mineral reserve.

A mineral resource is a concentration or occurrence of solid material of economic interest in or on the Earth's crust in such form, grade or quality and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade or quality, continuity and other geological characteristics of a mineral resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge, including sampling.

A measured mineral resource is that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are estimated with confidence sufficient to allow the application of modifying factors to support detailed mine planning and final evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. Geological evidence is derived from detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing and is sufficient to confirm geological and grade or quality continuity between points of observation. An indicated mineral resource is that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are estimated with sufficient confidence to allow the application of modifying factors in sufficient detail to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. Geological evidence is derived from adequately detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing and is sufficient to assume geological and grade or quality continuity between points of observation. An inferred mineral resource is that part of a mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Geological evidence is sufficient to imply but not verify geological and grade or quality continuity.

Investors are cautioned not to assume that part or all of an inferred mineral resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

A feasibility study is a comprehensive technical and economic study of the selected development option for a mineral project that includes appropriately detailed assessments of applicable modifying factors together with any other relevant operational factors and detailed financial analysis that are necessary to demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that extraction is reasonably justified (economically mineable). The results of the study may reasonably serve as the basis for a final decision by a proponent or financial institution to proceed with, or finance, the development of the project. The confidence level of the study will be higher than that of a Pre-Feasibility Study.

The effective date for all of the Company's mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates in this presentation is December 31, 2015 (other than the Amaruq Project). The effective date for the Amaruq Project mineral resource estimate in this presentation is June 30, 2016. Additional information about each of the mineral projects that is required by NI 43-101, sections 3.2 and 3.3 and paragraphs 3.4 (a), (c) and (d) can be found in the Technical Reports filed by Agnico Eagle, which may be found at www.sedar.com. Other important operating information can be found in the Company's AIF and Form 40-F.

The scientific and technical information relating to Agnico Eagle's mineral reserves and mineral resources contained herein (other than the Canadian Malartic mine) has been approved by Daniel Doucet, Eng., Senior Corporate Director, Reserve Development; and relating to mineral reserves and mineral resources at the Canadian Malartic mine contained herein has been approved by Donald Gervais, P.Geo., Director of Technical Services at Canadian Malartic Corporation. Each of them is a "Qualified Person" for the purposes of NI 43-101.



Trading Symbol:

AEM on TSX & NYSE

Investor Relations:

416-947-1212

info@agnicoeagle.com

agnicoeagle.com



AGNICO EAGLE